

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/003,122	03,122 11/14/2001		Mike Dennis	OAE 306	5951
23855	7590	02/17/2005	EXAMINER		INER
ROBERT I	O. VARIT	TZ, P.C.	KAVANAUGH, JOHN T		
2007 S.E. GRANT STREET PORTLAND, OR 97214				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3728	

DATE MAILED: 02/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/003,122	DENNIS ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Ted Kavanaugh	3728				
۔ Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication ap r Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
THE N - Extens after S - If the p - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rej period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- apply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 I	February 2004.					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositio	on of Claims						
5)□ (6)⊠ (7)□ (Claim(s) <u>7</u> is/are pending in the application. Ia) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>7</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/						
Application	on Papers	•					
9)□ T	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)[] T	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[:	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureate the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in the contract of the contract o	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment((s)						
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
3) 🔲 Inform	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					

Application/Control Number: 10/003,122

Art Unit: 3728

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on Feb. 23, 2004 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 5319867 (Weber) in view of [pages 1-7 of PORON 90, The Ultimate in Cushioning. The Ultimate in Performance] and [PORON 4000 Performance Urethanes –90 Series Typical Properties]. The last two references will be referred to as Rogers in the rejection below.

Weber teaches a moisture wicking fabric layer (14) having elongated fibres (20,22) and a lower foam cushioning layer (12) substantially as claimed except for the foam layer having the characteristics as claimed. Weber teaches the cushioning layer

Application/Control Number: 10/003,122

Art Unit: 3728

could be made out of a plurality of different materials; see col. 4, lines 1-14. Rogers teaches an insole made out of the exact foamed Poron material used by applicant, see paragraph bridging pages 2 and 3 of the instant application. Rogers teaches "PORON 90 controlled rebound products can be fabricated and **laminated with other materials** to create unique performance composites", bold face added. It would have been obvious to construct the foam layer (12) of Weber out of the Poron foamed material, as taught by Rogers, to provide improved cushioning characteristics. The fibres inherently contribute to distributing the load.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed Feb. 23, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues Weber teaches the cushioning material made out of springy type of material.

To the contrary, nowhere does Weber indicated the material is springy or has to be springy.

Conclusion

- 5. Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F. R. 1.111, including:
- -"The reply must present arguments pointing out the *specific* distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references."
- --"A general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section."
- -Moreover, "The prompt development of a clear issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/003,122

Art Unit: 3728

Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP 2163.06" MPEP 714.02. The "disclosure" includes the claims, the specification and the drawings.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the 6. Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Other useful information can be obtained at the PTO Home Page at www.uspto.gov.

In order to avoid potential delays, Technology Center 3700 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Center at (703) 872-9306 (FORMAL FAXES ONLY). Please identify Examiner Ted Kavanaugh of Art Unit 3728 at the top of your cover sheet.

Any inquiry concerning the MERITS of this examination from the examiner should be directed to Ted Kavanaugh whose telephone number is (571) 272-4556. The examiner can normally be reached from 6AM - 4PM.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3728

TK February 8, 2005